## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

KEVIN CLARKE, TREVOR BOECKMANN, HARRY CRANE, CORWIN SMIDT, PREDICT IT, INC., ARISTOTLE INTERNATIONAL, INC., MICHAEL BEELER, MARK BORGHI, RICHARD HANANIA, JAMES MILLER, JOSIAH NEELEY, GRANT SCHNEIDER, and WES SHEPHERD,

Civil Docket No. 1:22-cv-00909-LY

The Honorable Lee Yeakel

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION,

Defendant.

## **OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO EXPEDITE**

This Court has set both the CFTC's Motion to Transfer Venue, Dkt. 8, and the CFTC's Motion to Dismiss, Dkt. 19, for a hearing at 10:00 a.m. on December, 1, 2022. *See* Dkts. 20, 24. Plaintiffs on November 18, 2022 filed their Motion to Expedite, requesting that this Court further schedule a hearing on their Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Dkt. 12, at an unspecified date in December so as to "render a decision before Christmas." Dkt. 23 at 1.

The CFTC opposes Plaintiffs' Motion to Expedite. For the reasons explained both in the CFTC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Dkt. 17, and the CFTC's Motion to Dismiss briefing, Dkts. 19, 25, there is no jurisdiction under the Administrative Procedure Act for Plaintiffs' putative claims against staff no-action letters, as decades of settled case law confirm. Moreover, "the February 15 liquidation mandate" underlying Plaintiffs' supposed need for expedited treatment, Dkt. 23 at 3, is no such thing. *See, e.g.*, Dkt. 25 at 4–6.

## Case 1:22-cv-00909-LY Document 26 Filed 11/25/22 Page 2 of 3

Unless and until the Commission itself acts as an exercise of prosecutorial discretion, to the extent the Commission might chose to do so, that staff-specific grace period carries no independent legal effects as to PredictIt's operations. Nor have Plaintiffs shown the sort of "irreparable harm" that would warrant expedited resolution of their non-meritorious request for extraordinary relief months in advance of the supposed compliance deadline.

For these reasons, Plaintiffs' motion to expedite should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kyle M. Druding

Robert A. Schwartz (D.C. Bar No. 489240) *General Counsel* Anne W. Stukes (D.C. Bar No. 469446)\* *Deputy General Counsel* Kyle M. Druding (D.C. Bar No. 1044631)\* *Assistant General Counsel* U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20581 Phone: (202) 418-6024 Fax: (202) 418-5127 kdruding@cftc.gov

\* Admitted pro hac vice

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on November 25, 2022, I caused the foregoing Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Expedite to be served on the Clerk of the Court using the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send notice to all counsel of record in this case.

> /s/ Kyle M. Druding Kyle M. Druding