IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

KEVIN CLARKE, TREVOR BOECKMANN, HARRY CRANE, CORWIN SMIDT, PREDICT IT, INC., ARISTOTLE INTERNATIONAL, INC., MICHAEL BEELER, MARK BORGHI, RICHARD HANANIA, JAMES MILLER, JOSIAH NEELEY, GRANT SCHNEIDER, and WES SHEPHERD,

Civil Docket No. 1:22-cv-00909-DAE

The Honorable David Alan Ezra

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION,

Defendant.

PARTIES' AGREED JOINT SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATIONS

Case 1:22-cv-00909-DAE Document 47 Filed 10/04/23 Page 2 of 5

The below recommended schedule reflects discussions between the parties and is agreed to by all parties. The parties have reached agreement on all case management milestones except the appropriate time for the Defendant to produce the administrative record in this case. The parties will promptly return to this Court with an agreement on where the production of the administrative record fits into the below schedule or motions to resolve any disagreement that cannot be resolved.¹

Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's motion to amend preliminary injunction order.
October 12, 2023.

Defendant's reply in support of motion to amend preliminary injunction order.
October 19, 2023.

3. Plaintiffs' motion to file Second Amended Complaint if amendment is unconsented to by Defendant. November 1, 2023.

4. Defendant's response, if any, to motion to file Second Amended Complaint. November 29, 2023.

Plaintiff's reply, if any, in support of motion to file Second Amended Complaint.
December 13, 2023.

6. Defendant's Answer and/or dispositive or partially dispositive motions (other than motions for summary judgment). No later than 60 days after the filing of Second Amended Complaint, either by consent or the Court's granting of motion to file.

¹ Defendant Commodity Futures Trading Commission believes that it may be possible to resolve some or all of the issues in this case by stipulation or agreement. If that proves to be the case, some litigation steps in this recommended scheduled may become unnecessary.

Case 1:22-cv-00909-DAE Document 47 Filed 10/04/23 Page 3 of 5

7. Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment. No later than 60 days after filing of Answer or Court ruling on dispositive or partially dispositive motions (other than motions for summary judgment) if any, whichever comes later.

8. Defendant's response and cross-motion for summary judgment. No later than 45 days after Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment.

9. Plaintiffs' response in opposition to and reply in support of summary judgment. No later than 28 days after Defendant's response and cross-motion.

10. Defendant's reply in support of summary judgment. No later than 21 days after Plaintiff's response and reply.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Martin B. White

Robert A. Schwartz (D.C. Bar No. 489240) General Counsel Anne W. Stukes (D.C. Bar No. 469446)* Deputy General Counsel Martin B. White (D.C. Bar No. 221259)* Senior Assistant General Counsel **U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING** COMMISSION Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20581 Phone: (202) 993-1390 Fax: (202) 418-5567 mwhite@cftc.gov

* Admitted pro hac vice

Michael J. Edney

<u>/s/</u>

Michael J. Edney Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037

2

T: (202) 778-2204 medney@huntonak.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs Kevin Clarke, Trevor Boeckmann, Harry Crane, Corwin Smidt, Aristotle International, Inc., Predict It, Inc., Michael Beeler, Mark Borghi, Richard Hanania, James D. Miller, Josiah Neeley, Grant Schneider, and Wes Shepherd

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on October 4, 2023, I caused the foregoing motion to be served on the Clerk of the Court using the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send notice to all counsel of record in this case.

/s/ Martin B. White