

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION**

KEVIN CLARKE, TREVOR
BOECKMANN, HARRY CRANE, CORWIN
SMIDT, PREDICT IT, INC., ARISTOTLE
INTERNATIONAL, INC., MICHAEL
BEELER, MARK BORGHI, RICHARD
HANANIA, JAMES MILLER, JOSIAH
NEELEY, GRANT SCHNEIDER, and WES
SHEPHERD,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING
COMMISSION,

Defendant.

Civil Docket No. 1:22-cv-00909-DAE

The Honorable David Alan Ezra

**PARTIES' SECOND JOINT SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATIONS
PURSUANT TO ORDER DATED DECEMBER 4, 2023**

On September 13, 2023, the Court, Ezra, J., previously ordered the parties to file a proposed scheduling order. Dkt. 43. The parties filed joint scheduling recommendations on October 4, 2023. Dkt. 47. Although the Court has not, to date, ruled on that proposed schedule, the parties have been following it, with one exception described below. Indeed, consistent with the proposed schedule, the Court granted the Defendant's motion to extend the time to respond to the Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint until 60 days after the filing of the Second Amended Complaint. November 30, 2023, Text Order Granting Dkt. 56. Thus, in response to the December 4, 2023, Order, the parties state as follows:

1. The parties do not consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction.

2. Defendant Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”) filed a motion to transfer venue, Dkt. 50, which was not included in the earlier joint scheduling recommendations.¹ Briefing was completed on November 11, 2023.

3. The parties restate their prior scheduling recommendations, limiting them to the deadlines that have not already passed.

SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Court ruling on pending motion to transfer. Date TBD.
2. Defendant’s Answer and/or dispositive or partially dispositive motions (other than motions for summary judgment). January 26, 2024 (subject to possible additional motion for extension of time).
3. Court ruling on dispositive or partially dispositive motions (other than motions for summary judgment), if any. Date TBD.
4. Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment. No later than 60 days after filing of Answer or Court ruling on dispositive or partially dispositive motions (other than motions for summary judgment) if any, whichever comes later.
5. Defendant’s response and cross-motion for summary judgment. No later than 45 days after Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment.
6. Plaintiffs’ response in opposition to and reply in support of summary judgment. No later than 28 days after Defendant’s response and cross-motion.
7. Defendant’s reply in support of summary judgment. No later than 21 days after Plaintiff’s response and reply.

¹ The CFTC originally filed a transfer motion on September 8, 2022. Dkt. 8. This motion was denied without prejudice subject to re-urging. Dkt. 38.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Martin B. White

Robert A. Schwartz (D.C. Bar No. 489240)

General Counsel

Anne W. Stukes (D.C. Bar No. 469446)*

Deputy General Counsel

Martin B. White (D.C. Bar No. 221259)*

Senior Assistant General Counsel

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Three Lafayette Centre

1155 21st Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20581

Phone: (202) 993-1390

Fax: (202) 418-5567

mwhite@cftc.gov

* *Admitted pro hac vice*

/s/ Michael J. Edney

Michael J. Edney

Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20037

T: (202) 778-2204

medney@huntonak.com

*Attorney for Plaintiffs Kevin Clarke,
Trevor Boeckmann, Harry Crane, Corwin Smidt,
Aristotle International, Inc., Predict It, Inc.,
Michael Beeler, Mark Borghi, Richard Hanania,
James D. Miller, Josiah Neeley, Grant Schneider,
and Wes Shepherd*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 3, 2024, I caused the foregoing document to be served on the Clerk of the Court using the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send notice to all counsel of record in this case.

/s/ Martin B. White