Case: 24-50079 Document: 16 Page: 1 Date Filed: 02/05/2024 NOTICE OF FORM FOR APPEARANCE (See Fifth Cir. Rule 12) Only attorneys admitted to the Bar of this Court may practice before the Court. Each attorney representing a party must complete a separate form. (COMPLETE ENTIRE FORM).

Fifth Cir. Case NO. 24-50079	
IN RE: KEVIN CLARKE, ET AL.	V8.
(Short Title) The Clerk will enter my appearance as Counsel for <u></u>	Kevin Clarke, Trevor Boeckmann, Harry Crane,
Corwin Smidt, Predict It, Inc., Aristotle International, Inc., Michael Beeler, Mark Borghi,	
Richard Hanania, James D. Miller, Josiah Neeley, Grant Schneider, and Wes Shepherd	
(Please list names of all parties represented, attach additional pages if necessary.) The party(s) I represent IN THIS COURT Petitioner(s) Respondent(s) Amicus Curiae	
Appellant(s)	Appellee(s)
I certify that the contact information below is current and identical to that listed in my Appellate Filer Account with PACER.	
/s/ Michael J. Edney	medney@huntonak.com
(Signature)	(e-mail address)
Michael J. Edney	492024 (District of Columbia)
(Type or print name)	(State/Bar No.)
(Title, if any)	-
Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP	
(Firm or Organization) Address2200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW	
City & State Washington, DC	20037
Primary Tel. (202) 778-2204 Cell Phone:	
 B. Inquiry of Counsel. To your knowledge: (1) Is there any case now pending in this court, which involves the same, substantially the same, similar or related issue(s)? (2) Is there any such case now pending in a District Court (i) within this Circuit, or (ii) in a Federal Administrative Agency which would likely be appealed to the Fifth Circuit? (3) Is there any case such as (1) or (2) in which judgment or order has been entered and the case is on its way to this Court by appeal, 	
petition to enforce, review, deny?	
(4) Does this case qualify for calendaring priority under 5th Cir. R. 47.7? If so, cite the type of case If answer to (1), or (2), or (3), is yes, please give detailed information. Number and Style of Related Case: regarding the priority of mandamus. This case very recently was before this Court as Clarke v.	
_CFTC, Case No. 22- 51124, July 21, 2023, opinion reported at 74 F.4th 627 (5th Cir. 2023).	
Name of Court or Agency United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit	
Status of Appeal (if any) This Court reversed the district court's inaction and remanded to the district court for	
Other Status (if not appealed) (CONT.) for further proceed	dings implementing the Court's thorough opinion.